

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/677,198 | Applicant(s) NATAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Ardin Marschel | Art Unit 1631 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ardin Marschel(SPE).

(2) Katherine Lobel-Rice(Appl. Rep.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Lobel-Rice verified that this application has gone abandoned and that only a time extension has been filed after the Notice of Appeal, filed 10/9/03.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ardin Marschel 2/27/06
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)